Efficacy and Safety of Mycophenolate Mofetil in Children with Steroid Dependent Nephrotic Syndrome
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Purpose: Steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome (SDNS) is a chronic illness in childhood hard to treat. Steroid sparing drugs are often used, because long-term steroid therapy can cause severe side effects. We studied to compare efficacy between MMF and other drugs including cyclosporine and levamisole.

Methods: This study was performed retrospectively on patients with SDNS, who were treated at Pusan National University Children's hospital. MMF group included 11 patients who were treated with MMF for at least six months between June 2012 and July 2014. As control groups, cyclosporine group (n=15) and levamisole group (n=18) included patients treated between January 2008 and July 2014. Number of relapse was analyzed in patients treated more than six months, and relapse free for one year was analyzed in patients treated more than one year.

Results: In MMF group, ten were boys and mean age at onset was 5.8 years. Mean age at starting of MMF was 8.6 years. Number of relapse in MMF group was reduced significantly after treatment from 3.4 /year to 0.2 /year ($P=0.003$). There was no significant difference in number of relapse among groups (MMF: 0.2 /year, cyclosporine: 0.5 /year, levamisole: 0.5 /year). Comparing the early relapse within six months after treatment levamisole group was significantly higher than the other two groups ($P=0.04$).

Conclusions: MMF which is used in SDNS significantly reduced the relapse and side effects were rare. In addition, MMF did not show any significant difference in comparison with the other two groups in number of relapse and relapse free for one year.
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Introduction

Of children with nephrotic syndrome, 80–85% are known to have the minimal change disease and more than 90% respond to steroids. Of these patients, 10–20% experience relapse 3–4 times, and about 50% have frequent relapse or show steroid-dependency. The long-term use of steroids can cause severe side effects including excessive weight gain, Cushing syndrome, high blood pressure, growth disturbance, glucose intolerance, cataract, mood disorder, bone mineral density loss, acne and hirsutism. In steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome, several drugs such as cyclosporine, tacrolimus, levamisole and cyclophosphamide are used as steroid sparing drugs. Cyclosporine was
first used by Hoyer et al.\textsuperscript{4} in 1986 and has become widely used over the past 30 years. Levamisole which was first used by Tanphaichitr et al.\textsuperscript{5} in 1980 also continues to be in use. Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) which is used relatively frequently at present was approved for kidney transplantation by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1995\textsuperscript{6}. Since 2000, several small-sized studies have reported the effects of MMF on nephrotic syndrome. We compared the efficacy between MMF and other drugs including cyclosporine and levamisole. We also examined the safety profile of MMF.

### Materials and methods

The study subjects were patients under 18 years of age who had been diagnosed with steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome from June 2012 to August 2014 at Pusan National University Children’s Hospital and had taken MMF for more than six months in the same hospital, as well as patients under 18 who had been diagnosed with steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome from January 2008 to August 2014 at Pusan National University Hospital and Pusan National University Children’s Hospital and had taken cyclosporine and levamisole. We retrospectively analyzed their medical records. Based on the patients’ records, their sex, age of onset, age of the first drug administration, treatment period, administration period, administration history, side effects and other factors were analyzed. The dose of MMF was 40 mg/kg/day #2, and prednisolone 0.2 mg/kg was also used. Steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome was defined when relapse in the middle of reducing steroid or two relapse occurrences in a row within a month after the completion of steroid treatment. The efficacy of treatment was analyzed in three areas. The first was the number of relapse that occurred in the patients who had taken the drug for more than six months. The second was the relapse free for one year of patients who had taken the drug more than one year. Finally, the third was the early relapse within six months after treatment.

For statistical analysis, the IBM SPSS Statistics 22(Chicago, IL) program was applied. To determine the significance of a reduction in the relapse rate in the drug administration group, the Wilcoxon signed rank test was conducted. To compare the number of relapse and relapse free for one year between three groups, the Kruskal–Wallis test and Freeman–Halton extension of Fisher’s exact text were applied. P-value less than 0.05 was defined as significant.

### Results

Of 11 patients who had received MMF, six patients had MMF administered first as a secondary drug and five patients had the history of 1–2 years of cyclosporine treatment among whom three patients had a history of rituximab use. For each drug, there was a six-month administration interval. Fifteen and 18 patients had received cyclosporine and levamisole, respectively. The three groups were analyzed in terms of sex-based frequency, onset age, the age of first administration of a relevant drug, the frequency of relapse before treatment, and the treatment period. As the result of the analysis, the three groups demonstrated no significant difference (Table 1).

The MMF group’s number of relapse was 3.0 /year before treatment. After MMF treatment, the group had 0.24 /year (Table 2). Therefore, in this group, the number for relapse was significantly reduced (P=0.003; Fig. 1). The cyclosporine group had 2.8 /year before treatment and 0.5 /year after treatment (Table 3). The levamisole group had 2.6 /year before treatment and 0.6 /year after treatment (Table 4). In both two groups, numbers of relapse were significantly
reduced (Fig. 2, Fig. 3). Nevertheless, with regard to the extent of the reduction in numbers of relapse before and after treatment, the three groups showed no significant difference (P=0.27; Table 5).

Regarding the rate of relapse free for one year after treatment, the MMF group’s rate was 63.6% (7 out of 11 patients), that of the cyclosporine group was 58.3% (7/12), and that of the levamisole group was 41.7% (5/12). Therefore, the levamisole group showed a significantly higher rate than the other two groups (P=0.04).

No patient had any complaint of MMF administration side effects, including stomachache and diarrhea. In the observation period, there were no hematologic side effects like myelosuppression, but one patient had herpes zoster infection.

**Discussion**

Patients with steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome who had taken steroids on a long-term basis have been found to have some side effects. For this reason, various drugs to replace steroids have been used to maintain remission. Such drugs are metabolic suppression drugs, alkylating agents, cyclosporine, levamisole and others. It has been extensively reported that in nephrotic syndrome, treatment with cyclosporine has such benefits as a reduction in the steroid dose and a decreased relapse rate. As a result, cyclosporine is used widely for treatment of steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome. This study conducted a comparative analysis before and after cyclosporine treatment. The difference in the number of relapse before and after treatment was 2.3 /year, which represents a significant reduction. However, because of nephrotic-
xicity, which is cyclosporine’s main side effect, it is not advisable to administer the drug over the long term \(^{13-18}\).

Since the first use of levamisole in 1980, several reports have revealed that the drug is effective in induction and a reduction in the relapse rate \(^{19-23}\). According to our study, the difference in the number of relapse before and after treatment was 2.0/year, which represents a significant reduction. Levamisole is inexpensive and causes no significant side effects other than neutropenia \(^{12,24}\). However, like with other drugs, most patients tend to experience relapse when they stop taking this drug \(^{19,22,24-26}\). In our study, the levamisole group had more early relapses (relapse within 6 months after treatment) than the other groups \((P=0.04)\).

Unfortunately, we don’t know exactly if this result comes from characteristics of levamisole itself. Most patients who had early relapse stopped receiving levamisole treatment, but three had no relapse up to 24 months after a relapse within six months. Therefore, it is considered that even if there is early relapse, it may be possible to continue with the treatment more.

After MMF was approved by the FDA in 1995, several small-sized studies in the early 2000s demonstrated its effects in nephrotic syndrome \(^{26-33}\). In our study, the difference in the number of relapse before and after treatment was 2.8/year, which represents a significant reduction. MMF is known to have no nephrotoxicity which is fatal to

| Table 3. Cyclosporine group (treatment over 6 months) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Patient | Treatment duration (months) | Number of relapse before Tx (/year) | Number of relapse during Tx (/year) |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1       | 24              | 2               | 0               |                 |
| 2       | 27              | 4               | 0               |                 |
| 3       | 24              | 2               | 0.5             |                 |
| 4       | 27              | 3               | 2.2             |                 |
| 5       | 26              | 3               | 1               |                 |
| 6       | 24              | 3               | 0.5             |                 |
| 7       | 25              | 2               | 0               |                 |
| 8       | 15              | 3               | 0               |                 |
| 9       | 18              | 4               | 2               |                 |
| 10      | 18              | 4               | 0               |                 |
| 11      | 12              | 2               | 0               |                 |
| 12      | 12              | 2               | 0               |                 |
| Mean    | 21.0            | 2.83            | 0.52            |                 |

Abbrevation: Tx, Treatment

| Table 4. Levamisole group (treatment over 6 months) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Patient | Treatment duration (months) | Number of relapse before Tx (/year) | Number of relapse during Tx (/year) |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1       | 14              | 1               | 0               |                 |
| 2       | 26              | 2               | 0.92            |                 |
| 3       | 12              | 3               | 0               |                 |
| 4       | 11              | 4               | 1.09            |                 |
| 5       | 8               | 2               | 1.5             |                 |
| 6       | 28              | 3               | 0.42            |                 |
| 7       | 22              | 3               | 1.1             |                 |
| 8       | 37              | 2               | 0               |                 |
| 9       | 13              | 2               | 0               |                 |
| 10      | 13              | 4               | 0               |                 |
| 11      | 25              | 3               | 0.48            |                 |
| 12      | 22              | 2               | 0.54            |                 |
| Mean    | 19.3            | 2.58            | 0.50            |                 |

Abbrevation: Tx, Treatment
patients with nephrotic syndrome\textsuperscript{33}. In addition, according to a recent report, it has low drug dependence characteristics\textsuperscript{34}. Nevertheless, many studies that have been conducted so far have limitations, such as that the research was carried out at a single institution or a short period of administration was used for a small number of study subjects. To overcome such limitations, Banerjee et al.\textsuperscript{33} studied 46 patients with steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome at multiple institutions with mean follow-up period of 3.6±1.8 years. These researchers found that after one year of MMF administration, 32 of the patients (70%) had stopped taking steroids or were taking a reduced dose. At the last follow-up, 56% (14/25) patients were off regular medication in MMF group and only 10.5% (2/21) patients did in the other group. These results were statistically significant.

Recently, Gellermann et al.\textsuperscript{35} conducted a randomized crossover study to compare the effects of cyclosporine with those of MMF. According to their research, cyclosporine was more effective in preventing relapse, but when MMF was used, cystatin clearance, estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR), and hemoglobin level were significantly high. Given the results, it was considered that MMF would become a less-nephrotoxic treatment option.

Like previous studies, our study revealed that the MMF group showed a significant reduction in the number of relapse and that there was no significant difference from the groups that took other drugs. Among the subjects of this study, no severe side effect was found in any patients in the MMF group except for one patient who was infected with herpes zoster. Therefore, it is considered that MMF can be used with relative safety.

This study has several limitations. One is that it was conducted at a single institution respectively and not controlled study. Another is that we changed the drug at early relapse, so we could not determine the effect of long-term administration of the drug.

In conclusion, in the case of steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome, MMF showed no significant difference in its...
remission maintenance effect from other drugs such as cyclosporine and levamisole; moreover, it exhibited a low frequency of severe side effects. This drug does not cause nephrotoxicity, and thus it can be used for long-term treatment. In this respect, it is considered that MMF is effective in maintaining long-term remission of steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome.
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